

## Message

**From:** Subramaniam, Ravi [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=E738F9D27062486E9047184B867FD968-SUBRAMANIAM, RAVI]  
**Sent:** 6/12/2014 11:08:08 AM  
**To:** Bussard, David [Bussard.David@epa.gov]; Jinot, Jennifer [Jinot.Jennifer@epa.gov]; **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**  
**CC:** Chen, Chao [Chen.Chao@epa.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: revised bottom up letter attached  
**Attachments:** Bottom up letter-rs-db-cc\_DB 6 11 14-jj-rs.docx

So I revised and accepted changes in agreement with this last exchange 6/10 5:27 from David re. Jennifer's comments. I accepted Chao's second change re. dose-metric but not the first edit re. confidence limit. See my comments associated with that change. Also somewhere along the line we seemed to have confused the notation, using P/CO for the lower confidence bound, so we have an explicit P/COL now. The other edit I did not accept was to the last sentence in the second para—see the comment there.

I accepted all changes but retained track changes associated with my last round of changes. However, all comments from David onwards are retained and Chao's comments inserted with my initials. I will send a clean version to Kenny Thursday around 11:00 am.

Ravi.

---

Ravi Subramaniam, PhD; Associate, Quantitative Methods Branch, IRIS Division, NCEA-ORD, US EPA  
(703) 347-8606 (Tu, W, Th); **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)** M, F

---

---

**From:** Bussard, David  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 10, 2014 5:27 PM  
**To:** Jinot, Jennifer; **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)**; Subramaniam, Ravi  
**Subject:** RE: revised bottom up letter attached--will send to Crump COB 6-11

Ravi,

I'm fine with Jennifer's track changes. (While I like "active voice" better, I am fine with Jennifer's reason to go back to passive in this case (so that they are listed as "assumptions")).

# Ex. 5 Deliberative Process (DP)

David

---

**From:** Jinot, Jennifer  
**Sent:** Tuesday, June 10, 2014 2:08 PM  
**To:** Bussard, David; Subramaniam, Ravi; Chen, Chao; **Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)** et  
**Cc:** Jinot, Jennifer  
**Subject:** RE: revised bottom up letter attached--will send to Crump COB 6-11

thanks, Ravi and David. i worked from David's revision and made a few more editorial suggestions. i think it looks pretty good now. jj

---

**From:** Bussard, David

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 10, 2014 12:10 PM

**To:** Subramaniam, Ravi; Chen, Chao; [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] Jinot, Jennifer

**Subject:** RE: revised bottom up letter attached--will send to Crump COB 6-11

Ravi,

Thank you for working this out!

I know we all want to wrap this up, but I had some minor changes. A few are just stronger writing -- I suggest we use the "active voice" rather than send a letter in which the "passive voice" is used. A few are minor word changes I think make the substance clearer or more accurate. But, there are pretty small changes. Nice job all! I like the flow and the focus.

So changes are in track changes, with explanatory notes in comment bubbles.

I agree we should share this with Kenny, but we will also need to get NCEA clearance on it before we send it. Let me know if you think we should do that in parallel with sending it to Kenny, or after? Given my involvement, I might suggest this go to Vince and then Lynn for the clearance chain.

David

---

**From:** Subramaniam, Ravi

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 10, 2014 11:47 AM

**To:** Bussard, David; Chen, Chao; [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] Jinot, Jennifer

**Subject:** revised bottom up letter attached--will send to Crump COB 6-11

Hope this incorporates all decisions taken during our discussions. If I do not hear from you to the contrary by tomorrow COB, I will send it to Kenny as representing NCEA version. Note: also attaching older versions (Jennifer and Chao/David/Ravi) so you know what was changed and have access to various comments.

Ravi.

---

Ravi Subramaniam, PhD; Associate, Quantitative Methods Branch, IRIS Division, NCEA-ORD, US EPA  
(703) 347-8606 (Tu, W, Th) [Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)] (M, F)

---